

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,768	07/13/2001	Masakazu Murakami	990551DD/LH	4415
1933	7590 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D	
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

O9/905,768 MURAKAMI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Donghai D. Nguyen 3729
Donghai D. Nguyen 3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> .
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 9-11 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/393,745.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. OPTO 450
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/905,768

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The proposed reply filed on February 05, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Yamada et al.

Regarding claim 9, AAPA discloses a method of assembling an electrical connection box, comprising: providing first (2) and second (1) casings that are capable of being combined with each other; providing an electrically insulating wiring board (3, Fig. 9) between the first and second casings, wherein the wiring board comprising a laying groove (3s) in a desired shape; laying a leader of a wire (W, page 2, line 21-22) in the given portion using an automatic laying apparatus; laying the wire (W, Fig. 11) in the laying groove of the wiring board using the automatic laying apparatus, such that the wire is extended from a head of the automatic laying apparatus to a cutter; operating the cutter to cut the wire (Spec. page 2, lines 19-28) such that a piece of the wire remaining between the head of the automatic laying apparatus and the cutter comprising a wire leader for subsequent laying operation (Spec. page 2, lines 28-30); and combining the first and second casings with the wiring board located there between (Fig. 9),

Application/Control Number: 09/905,768

Art Unit: 3729

except that AAPA does not disclose a holding portion which is wider the layer groove and the leader of wire including any bent/curled portion thereof is held in the holding portion of the wiring board.

However, Yamada et al teaches the holding portion (16) being wider than the wiring path (15) and the leader of wire (14b, 14c) including any bent portion thereof, is held in the holding portion of the wiring board as the wire is laid for positioning a cutter and preventing short-circuit of the leader of wire (Col. 5, lines 20-27). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify AAPA has the holding portion being wider than the wiring path and the leader of wire is held in the holding portion as taught by Yamada et al. for positioning a cutter and preventing short-circuit of the leader of wire.

Claims 10-11 also met as set forth above. Yamada et al's Figs. 5 and 8 disclose the holding portion comprising a holding recess (16) that is wider than the laying groove and is extended on both sides of the laying groove in a width direction of the laying groove).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 5, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Yamada et al disclose a method for creating a plurality of electronic circuits from a wire that is already laid and do not disclose, teach or suggest the feature of the method of the present invention. The Examiner disagrees since Yamada et al teach the holding portion (16) for securely holding the leader (14b is bent/curled and 14c is straight) of the wire regardless of curling or bending of the wire (Figs. 5, 8, and Col. 7, lines 33-36)

Application/Control Number: 09/905,768

Art Unit: 3729

Applicant argues that the teachings of Yamada et al and the AAPA are not properly combined. Since Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3729

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Page 5